

**Effect of a Spin-1/2 Impurity
on the Spin-1 Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Chain**

Makoto KABURAGI and Takashi TONEGAWA[†]

*Department of Informatics, Faculty of Cross-Cultural Studies,
Kobe University,
Tsurukabuto, Nada, Kobe 657*

[†]*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Kobe University,
Rokkodai, Kobe 657*

(Received)

Low-lying excited states as well as the ground state of the spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with a spin-1/2 impurity are investigated by means of a variational method and a method of numerical diagonalization. It is shown that 1) the impurity spin brings about massive modes in the Haldane gap, 2) when the the impurity-host coupling is sufficiently weak, the phenomenological Hamiltonian used by Hagiwara *et al.* in the analysis of ESR experimental results for $\text{Ni}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_8\text{N}_2)_2\text{NO}_2(\text{ClO}_4)$ containing a small amount of spin-1/2 Cu^{2+} impurities is equivalent to a more realistic Hamiltonian, as far as the energies of the low-lying states are concerned, 3) the results obtained by the variational method are in semi-quantitatively good agreement with those obtained by the numerical diagonalization.

Since Haldane's prediction¹⁾ of the difference between integer-spin and half-integer-spin antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chains, the spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain has been the subject of a large number of theoretical and experimental studies. One of the recent topics of this subject is the edge effect on the chain. Kennedy²⁾ found that the open chain has a fourfold degenerate ground state composed of a singlet and a triplet which we call the Kennedy triplet, in contrast to a unique singlet ground state of the periodic chain.³⁾ The fourfold degeneracy of the ground state, which was originally found in the so-called AKLT model³⁾ with open boundary conditions, is considered to reflect the hidden $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry in the open chain.⁴⁾ The hidden symmetry is attributed to the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at edges of the chain.

Recently the present authors and Harada⁵⁾ investigated theoretically the impurity-bond effect on the ground state and the low-lying excited states of the chain to interpolate between the open-chain and periodic-chain cases. They showed that the impurity bond brings about a massive triplet mode in the Haldane gap and that the triplet state comprises three of the four ground states of the open chain. Miyashita and Yamamoto⁶⁾ performed the Monte Carlo analysis of the open chain to show that the magnetic moments localized around the edges for the Kennedy triplet decay exponentially with the decay constant which is about 6 in lattice spacing. Hagiwara *et al.*^{7,8)} performed the ESR experiment on the spin-1 linear-chain antiferromagnet $\text{Ni}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_8\text{N}_2)_2\text{NO}_2(\text{ClO}_4)$, abbreviated NENP, containing a small amount of spin-1/2 Cu^{2+} impurities and gave for the first time experimental evidence for the existence of the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the host-spin sites neighboring the impurity. They analyzed successfully their experimental results by using the phenomenological

Hamiltonian given by

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^2 (\bar{J}_x s_0^x s_\ell^x + \bar{J}_y s_0^y s_\ell^y + \bar{J}_z s_0^z s_\ell^z) , \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{s}_0$ is the spin-1/2 operator of the Cu^{2+} impurity; $\vec{s}_1$ and $\vec{s}_2$ are the spin operators which represent the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the host-spin sites neighboring the impurity; $\bar{J}_x$, $\bar{J}_y$, and $\bar{J}_z$ are the effective exchange constants. There is, however, no clear explanation for the origin of the anisotropy of the effective exchange interaction.

In this letter, we investigate the spin-1/2 impurity effect on the spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain from the theoretical point of view. Our final goal is to analyze the above ESR experiment by comparing the theoretical results with the experimental ones. As the first step to the goal, we discuss the relation between the phenomenological Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$ and the more realistic Hamiltonian given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}' , \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = J \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} (S_\ell^x S_{\ell+1}^x + S_\ell^y S_{\ell+1}^y + \lambda S_\ell^z S_{\ell+1}^z) + d \sum_{\ell=1}^N (S_\ell^z)^2 \right\} \\ (J > 0, \lambda > 0) , \quad (2b)$$

$$\mathcal{H}' = J' (s_0^x S_1^x + s_0^y S_1^y + \lambda' s_0^z S_1^z) + J' (s_0^x S_N^x + s_0^y S_N^y + \lambda' s_0^z S_N^z) \\ (\lambda' > 0) , \quad (2c)$$

where $\vec{s}_0$ is the spin-1/2 operator of the impurity spin as is stated above and $\vec{S}_\ell$ ($\ell=1, 2, \dots, N$) is the spin-1 operator of the host spin. Thus, $\mathcal{H}_0$ and $\mathcal{H}'$ represent, respectively, the Hamiltonian for the host-host coupling and that for the impurity-host coupling. Using both an analytical method and a method of numerical diagonalization, we calculate the energies of the

ground state and the low-lying excited states of $\mathcal{H}$. By comparing these energies obtained by the analytical method with those for the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$, we will show that, when $|J'|/J$ is sufficiently small, $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}$, as far as the energies of the low-lying states are concerned. We also explore the dependences on J' and on d of the energies of the low-lying states, since they yield information on the relation between the results of the above ESR experiment and the impurity-host interaction.

We now calculate the energies of the low-lying states of $\mathcal{H}$, assuming that $|J'|/J \ll 1$, that is, treating $\mathcal{H}'$ as a small perturbation. In the Haldane region, to which we confine ourselves hereafter, the ground state of the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0$ is fourfold degenerate.^{2,3)} The variational method discussed in the previous paper,⁵⁾ according to which the Haldane region is given by $4 > d > 2\lambda - 4$, leads to the following fourfold ground-state wave functions Φ and $\Phi_N^{(\tau)}$ ($\tau = +, 0, -$) expressed in the matrix-product form.^{9,10)} The function Φ describes the state with no domain wall and is given by

$$\Phi = \text{Trace}[\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_{N-1} \phi_N] , \quad (3)$$

$$\phi_\ell = \cos(\tilde{\theta}) \zeta_\ell \sigma_z + \frac{\sin(\tilde{\theta})}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha_\ell \sigma_+ + \beta_\ell \sigma_-) , \quad (4)$$

where α_ℓ , ζ_ℓ , and β_ℓ are the spin states at the ℓ -th site, which correspond, respectively, to $S_\ell^z = 1, 0$, and -1 , and $\sigma_\pm [= (\sigma_x \pm i\sigma_y)/\sqrt{2}]$, σ_x , σ_y , and σ_z are the Pauli matrices. The parameter $\tilde{\theta}$ is determined from the equation,

$$\cos(2\tilde{\theta}) = \frac{d - \lambda}{4 - \lambda} . \quad (5)$$

The function $\Phi_N^{(\tau)}$ describes the states with a domain wall and is expressed in terms of ϕ_ℓ and the wall operator w as

$$\Phi_N^{(\tau)} = \text{Trace}[\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_{N-1} \phi_N w] , \quad (6)$$

where $w = -\sigma_-$ for $\tau = +$, $w = \sigma_z$ for $\tau = 0$, and $w = \sigma_+$ for $\tau = -$. It is noted that, when $\lambda=1$ and $d=0$, Φ represents the singlet state, and $\Phi_N^{(+)}$, $\Phi_N^{(0)}$, and $\Phi_N^{(-)}$ represent, respectively, the triplet (Kennedy triplet) states with $M_0 \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^N S_{\ell}^z = 1, 0$, and -1 . These four wave functions give the same energy expectation value $E_{0,0}$ and the correlation length ξ as

$$E_{0,0} = -(N-1)J \left(\frac{4-d}{4-\lambda} \right) \left(1 + \frac{d}{4} \right) + NJd \frac{(4-d)}{2(4-\lambda)} , \quad (7)$$

$$\xi = -1 / \ln \left| \frac{d-\lambda}{4-\lambda} \right| . \quad (8)$$

Performing a perturbation calculation, we restrict the wave functions for $\mathcal{H}_0$ to Φ and $\Phi_N^{(\tau)}$. We denote the wave function for $\vec{s}_0$ by χ_{ν} , where $\nu = +$ for $s_0^z = +\frac{1}{2}$ and $\nu = -$ for $s_0^z = -\frac{1}{2}$. Then, the bases of the wave functions for the low-lying states of $\mathcal{H}$ may be represented by the product of the former four functions and the latter two functions as

$$\Psi_{\nu} = \Phi \chi_{\nu} , \quad \Psi_{\nu}^{(\tau)} = \Phi_N^{(\tau)} \chi_{\nu} . \quad (9)$$

The matrix elements of $\mathcal{H}'$ in this representation are easily calculated from

$$\mathcal{H}' \Psi_{\nu} = 0 , \quad (10a)$$

$$\mathcal{H}' \Psi_{\nu}^{(\nu)} = J' \lambda' \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} \Psi_{\nu}^{(\nu)} , \quad (10b)$$

$$\mathcal{H}' \Psi_{\nu}^{(0)} = J' \sin(2\tilde{\theta}) \Psi_{\bar{\nu}}^{(\nu)} , \quad (10c)$$

$$\mathcal{H}' \Psi_{\bar{\nu}}^{(\nu)} = J' \sin(2\tilde{\theta}) \Psi_{\nu}^{(0)} - J' \lambda' \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} \Psi_{\bar{\nu}}^{(\nu)} , \quad (10d)$$

where $\nu = +$ or $-$, and $\bar{\nu} = +$ when $\nu = -$ and $\bar{\nu} = -$ when $\nu = +$. In deriving eq.(10a)-(10d), we have neglected the factor $\cos^N \tilde{\theta}$. Solving the corresponding secular equation, we obtain the energy eigenvalues (measured from $E_{0,0}$) as

$$\varepsilon_t \left(\pm \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right) = J' \lambda' \frac{4-d}{2(4-\lambda)} , \quad (11)$$

$$\varepsilon_t\left(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) = J' \lambda' \frac{4-d}{4(4-\lambda)} \left\{ \sqrt{1 + 16 \frac{4-2\lambda+d}{(\lambda')^2(4-d)}} - 1 \right\}, \quad (12)$$

$$\varepsilon_t\left(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = -J' \lambda' \frac{4-d}{4(4-\lambda)} \left\{ \sqrt{1 + 16 \frac{4-2\lambda+d}{(\lambda')^2(4-d)}} + 1 \right\}, \quad (13)$$

$$\varepsilon_s\left(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Here, we have denoted the energy eigenvalue of the state with $M = s_0^z + \sum_{\ell=1}^N S_\ell^z$ as $\varepsilon_r(M, S)$ ($r=s, t$), where S represents the magnitude of the total spin of the corresponding state in the isotropic case of $\lambda = \lambda' = 1$ and $d=0$. The subscripts s and t show that the eigenvalues are associated with the bases Ψ_ν and $\Psi_\nu^{(\tau)}$, respectively; the wave function for $\varepsilon_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is given by $\Psi_\pm$, that for $\varepsilon_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ by $\Psi_\pm^{(\pm)}$, and those for $\varepsilon_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ and $\varepsilon_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ by linear combinations of $\Psi_\pm^{(0)}$ and $\Psi_\mp^{(\pm)}$.

Before discussing the results of the above analysis in more detail, we examine the relation between $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$ and $\mathcal{H}$. The energy eigenvalues $\varepsilon_r^{\text{phe}}(M, S)$ ($r=s, t$) of $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$ is easily calculated to be⁸⁾

$$\varepsilon_t^{\text{phe}}\left(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{\bar{J}_z}{2}, \quad (15)$$

$$\varepsilon_t^{\text{phe}}\left(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{\bar{J}_z}{4} \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{\lambda_{\text{eff}}^2}} - 1 \right\}, \quad (16)$$

$$\varepsilon_t^{\text{phe}}\left(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = -\frac{\bar{J}_z}{4} \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{\lambda_{\text{eff}}^2}} + 1 \right\}, \quad (17)$$

$$\varepsilon_s^{\text{phe}}\left(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0, \quad (18)$$

where $\lambda_{\text{eff}}^2 = 2\bar{J}_z^2/(\bar{J}_x^2 + \bar{J}_y^2)$, and where M represents the z -component of the total spin in the case of $\bar{J}_x = \bar{J}_y$, S represents the magnitude of the total spin in the isotropic case of $\bar{J}_x = \bar{J}_y = \bar{J}_z$, and the subscript r have the same

meaning as that of $\varepsilon_r(M, S)$ but for the three-spin-1/2 system. Comparing eqs. (15)-(18) with eqs. (11)-(14), we can determine the correspondence between the interaction constants in $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$ and those in $\mathcal{H}$. The results are

$$J_z \leftrightarrow J' \lambda' \frac{4-d}{4-\lambda} , \quad (19)$$

$$\lambda_{\text{eff}}^2 \leftrightarrow \frac{(\lambda')^2(4-d)}{2(4-2\lambda+d)} . \quad (20)$$

We have thus shown that, $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}$ when $|J'|/J \ll 1$, as far as the energies of the low-lying states are concerned. Equations (19) and (20) give a clear explanation for the origin of the anisotropy of the exchange interaction in $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$; as seen from eq. (20), in the case of $\lambda = \lambda' = 1$ the uniaxial anisotropy d in $\mathcal{H}$ produces the anisotropy of the exchange interaction in $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$. This result confirms the concept of the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at edges of the open spin-1 chain. The use of $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$ for the semi-quantitative analysis of the ESR experimental results for the NENP:Cu²⁺ system^{7,8)} is also justified.

Let us discuss several qualitative properties of the energies of the low-lying states in the case of $\lambda = \lambda' = 1$, which are deduced from eqs. (11)-(14). For convenience, we choose the origin of the energies $E_{0,0} + \varepsilon_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and define $\Delta_r(M, S)$ ($r=s, t$) as

$$\Delta_r(M, S) = \varepsilon_r(M, S) - \varepsilon_t\left(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) . \quad (21)$$

In Fig. 1 we show the d -dependence of $\Delta_r(M, S)/J'$. We see from this figure that, when $|J'|/J$ is sufficiently small, $\Delta_r(M, S)$ satisfies the relation $0 < \Delta_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) < \Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) < \Delta_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ or $0 > \Delta_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) > \Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) > \Delta_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ depending upon whether $J' > 0$ or $J' < 0$. It should be noted that $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ is of course equal to $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ in the isotropic case of

$\lambda = \lambda' = 1$ and $d = 0$, and also that in this case the ratio R defined by $R = \Delta_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})/\Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ is given by $\frac{2}{3}$. As has been discussed in ref. 5, the magnitude of the Haldane gap, which should be defined as the energy difference between the bottom of the energy continuum and the ground state, is not affected by the presence of an impurity spin (see Fig. 5 in ref. 5). Combining this with the results shown in Fig. 1, we obtain the schematic energy versus J' diagram given in Fig. 2. This figure shows that the impurity spin brings about the massive modes (the so-called impurity states) in the Haldane gap in a certain range of the impurity-host exchange constant J' . Figure 2 also suggests that we can determine at least the sign J' from the experimental results for energy-level separations. For example, when $d > 0$, the Zeeman splitting of the second-lowest energy level due to the external magnetic field $\vec{H}$ will give a key for determining the sign of J' , because the value of M of the second-lowest energy level at $\vec{H} = 0$ is either $\pm\frac{3}{2}$ or $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ depending on whether $J' > 0$ or $J' < 0$.

In order to numerically examine the analytical results obtained above, we have performed a numerical diagonalization by the Lanczös method¹¹⁾ for finite- N ($N = 5, 7, \dots, 15$) chains in the isotropic case. In Fig. 3 the results for $\Delta_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) [= \Delta_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})]$ obtained for $N = 15$ are plotted as a function of J' ; the dashed line and the dotted line represent the former and the latter, respectively. This figure should be compared with Fig. 2(b). Numerical results show that $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ vanishes at $J' = 0$ irrespectively of N . Since the value of $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})/J'$ at $J' = 0$ is almost independent of N , we can readily estimate this value in the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$ to be 1.7 ± 0.1 . Due to the finite-size effect, on the other hand, the value $J'_{(0)}$ of J' at which $\Delta_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ vanishes is negative. This tends to 0 as $J'_{(0)} \sim \exp(-N/\xi')$ in the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$, where ξ' is a constant. We have

estimated the infinite- N value of $\Delta_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})/J'$ at $J'=0$ by extrapolating the finite- N values of $d\Delta_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})/dJ'$ at $J'=J'_{(0)}$ to $N \rightarrow \infty$. The result is 1.1 ± 0.1 . Thus, the value of the ratio R at $J'=0$ obtained by the present numerical analysis is almost equal to $\frac{2}{3}$, which is in good agreement with the analytical result discussed above. When $|J'|/J$ is small, the numerical calculation for the case of finite d also gives a satisfactorily good agreement with the analytical results. Details of the numerical calculation will be published in the near future.¹²⁾

We summarize the results of the present study. 1) The analytical expressions for the energies of the low-lying states of $\mathcal{H}$ in the case of $|J'|/J \ll 1$ has been obtained by means of the variational method. 2) We have shown that $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}$ in this case, as far as the energies of the low-lying states are concerned. 3) We have given the clear explanation for origin of the anisotropy of the exchange interaction in $\mathcal{H}^{\text{phe}}$ [see eqs. (19) and (20)]. 4) The dependence of the energies on J' obtained by the variational method is in semi-quantitatively good agreement with that obtained by the numerical diagonalization.

The authors would like to thank Drs. K. Katsumata and M. Hagiwara for valuable discussions. The present work has been supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas, ‘‘Computational Physics as a New Frontier in Condensed Matter Research’’, from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture. One of the authors (M. K.) gratefully acknowledges the support of Fujitsu Limited.

References

- 1) F. D. M. Haldane: Phys. Lett. **93A** (1983) 464; Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983) 1153.
- 2) T. Kennedy: J. Phys. Condens. Matter **2** (1990) 5737.
- 3) I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb and H. Tasaki: Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987) 799; Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988) 477.
- 4) T. Kennedy and H. Tasaki: Commun. Math. Phys. **147** (1992) 431.
- 5) M. Kaburagi, I. Harada and T. Tonegawa: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **62** (1993) 1848.
- 6) S. Miyashita and S. Yamamoto: Phys. Rev. B **48** (1993) 913.
- 7) M. Hagiwara, K. Katsumata, I. Affleck, B. I. Halperin and J. P. Renard: Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (1990) 3181,
- 8) M. Hagiwara: Dr. Thesis, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, 1992.
- 9) A. Klümper, A. Schadschneider and J. Zittartz: J. Phys. A **24** (1991) L955; Z. Phys. B **87** (1992) 281.
- 10) M. Fannes, B. Nachtergaele and R. F. Werner: Europhys. Lett. **10** (1989) 633; Commun. Math. Phys. **144** (1992) 443.
- 11) Numerical calculation was performed by using the program package KOBEPACK/I Version 1.0 developed by the present authors.
- 12) T. Tonegawa and M. Kaburagi: in preparation.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Plots versus d of $\Delta_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})/J'$ (dotted line), $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})/J'$ (dashed line), and $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})/J'$ (dot-dashed line) obtained for $\lambda = \lambda' = 1$ by the analytical method.

Fig. 2. Schematic energy [measured from $E_{0,0} + \varepsilon_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$] versus J'/J diagram obtained for $\lambda = \lambda' = 1$ by the analytical method; (a) for $d > 0$, (b) for $d = 0$, and (c) for $d < 0$. The dotted line shows $\Delta_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})/J$, the dashed line shows $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})/J$, and the dot-dashed line shows $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})/J$. Note that in (b), $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})/J = \Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})/J$, which we show by the dashed line. The full line shows the bottom of the energy continuum on the assumption that the value of the Haldane gap is equal to $\frac{8}{9}J$ which is obtained by the analytical method for $d=0$.⁵⁾

Fig. 3. Energy [measured from from $E_{0,0} + \varepsilon_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$] versus J'/J diagram obtained for $N=15$ in the isotropic case of $\lambda = \lambda' = 1$ and $d=0$ by the numerical diagonalization. The dotted line shows $\Delta_s(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})/J$ and the dashed line shows $\Delta_t(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})/J = \Delta_t(\pm\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})/J$.